

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/994,758	11/28/2001		Yuuichiro Kajiwara	2001_1763A	8646
513	7590	10/21/2003		EXAMINER	
		ID & PONACK, L	EGWIM, KELECHI CHIDI		
2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021				1713	

DATE MAILED: 10/21/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	09/994,758	KAJIWARA ET AL.					
omee neuen cummary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAII ING DATE of this communication appr	Dr. Kelechi C. Egwim	1713					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 S</u>	eptember 2003 .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims 1) Claim(s) 1 11 in/ore pending in the application							
 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10 and 11 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4 and 5 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 09/994,758

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-9, in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that if the sub-combination of Group I is allowable, then the combination of Group II is allowable. This is not found persuasive because Group I is not allowable.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 10 and 11 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.



Application/Control Number: 09/994,758

Art Unit: 1713

5. In claim 1, from which the balance of the claims depend, the phrase "obtainable" renders the claims indefinite because it is unclear whether the process limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schwinum et al. (GB 1480112)

In page 1, lines 66-90, page 2, lines 27-33 and the examples, Schwinum et al. teach latexes prepared by emulsion polymerizing monomers, using a sodium formaldehyde sulfoxylate and oil-soluble peroxide redox combination, in the presence of 0.5 to 6% of sodium dodecylbenzene sulfonate.

Thus, the requirements for rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) are met.

8. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kajiwara et al. (USPN 6,492,446)

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/994,758

Art Unit: 1713

In the abstract, col. 3, lines 5-26, Kajiwara et al. teach latexes prepared by emulsion polymerizing 15 to 45 parts of vinyl cyanide, 35 to 80 parts of a conjugated diene and 0.1 to 20 parts of an ethylenically unsaturated carboxylic acid, in the presence of 0.5 to 10% of a C_{13-20} alkyl benzene sulfonate and a seed latex with average diameters of 20 to 90 nm and T_g of –50 to 50 °C prepared from vinyl cyanide and a comonomer.

Thus, the requirements for rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) are met.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Kelechi C. Egwim whose telephone number is (703) 306-5701. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T (7:30-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (703) 308-2450. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

KELECHI C. EGWIM PH.D

KCE